

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/606,941	06/26/2003	Guodong Zhan	02307Z-137500US	4434	
20350	7590 02/10/2005		EXAMINER		
	D AND TOWNSEND	DUONG, THO V			
TWO EMBA EIGHTH FL	RCADERO CENTER OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CISCO, CA 94111-383	4	3743		

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/606,941	ZHAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tho v Duong	3743	

	The v buong	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendation condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearmentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The a) The period for reply expires	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		LINOINE EI WAGI	ILLO WITTING
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period AMENDMENTS 	.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	v);	,	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-31.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 			nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: 			
		Tho v Duong Examiner	roj
		Tho v Duong	•
		Examiner Art Unit: 3743	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation of "to provide said composite with a thermal diffusivity in said transverse direction that is lower than the thermal diffusivity in said transverse direction of a matrix of ceramic material lacking said carbon nanotubes" raises a new issue that it would require further consideration in as far as it would necessitate a rejection that was not set forth in the Final Rejection mailed 1/12/2005.